



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, 58, 10024

Received 13th July 2022,
Accepted 10th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2cc03921d

rsc.li/chemcomm

Visible-light-promoted S-trifluoromethylation of thiophenols with trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone†

Zhiqiang Wei,^{ab} Zhengzhao Lou,^{ab} Chuanfa Ni,^a Wei Zhang^a and Jinbo Hu^{ab}  ^{*ab}

Trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone is traditionally a nucleophilic trifluoromethylating agent. Herein, we report the first example of the use of trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone as a trifluoromethyl radical precursor. Arylthiolate anions can form electron donor–acceptor (EDA) complexes with trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone, which can undergo an intramolecular single electron transfer (SET) reaction under visible light irradiation, thus realizing the S-trifluoromethylation of thiophenols under photoredox catalyst-free conditions. Similar S-perfluoroethylation and S-perfluoro-iso-propylation of thiophenols are also achieved using the corresponding perfluoroalkyl phenyl sulfones.

The trifluoromethylthio (CF₃S) group has been often incorporated into organic molecules to enhance the lipophilicity,¹ thus improving their ability to cross lipid membranes and their *in vivo* absorption rate.² In addition, given the electron-withdrawing property of the CF₃S group, trifluoromethylthiolated compounds usually have higher stability (compared with their non-fluorinated counterparts) under acidic environments.³ As a result, CF₃S-containing molecules have found many applications in pharmaceuticals, such as the appetite depressant drug Tiflorex and the anticoccidial drug Toltrazuril (Fig. 1).⁴ During the past decade, transition metal-catalyzed aromatic trifluoromethylthiolation⁵ and S-trifluoromethylation of thiophenols⁶ have been developed.

Furthermore, transition-metal-free aromatic trifluoromethylthiolation reactions have emerged;⁷ however, they suffer from some disadvantages, such as the requirement of expensive reagents and/or poor compatibility of functional groups.

Fluoroalkyl sulfones, a class of stable and easily accessible organofluorine compounds, have been widely used in selective fluoroalkylation of organic compounds.⁸ In 2016, we reported

the first example of using fluoroalkyl sulfones as fluoroalkyl radical precursors for efficient fluoroalkylation.⁹ Thereafter, we developed a series of radical fluoroalkylation reactions involving fluoroalkyl sulfones.¹⁰ However, in the case of trifluoromethyl sulfone-enabled radical trifluoromethylations, only trifluoromethyl heteroaryl sulfones (with high reduction potentials) were successfully used as trifluoromethyl radical precursors, while the more readily available trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone (PhSO₂CF₃, **2a**; with relatively lower reduction potential) failed.^{9,10a,d} To date, **2a** has only been used as a nucleophilic trifluoromethylation reagent (Scheme 1a and b).¹¹ Following our continuous efforts in developing fluoroalkyl sulfones in organic synthesis, herein, we report the first case of using **2a** as a trifluoromethyl radical precursor and its application in the trifluoromethylation of thiophenols (Scheme 1c).

Initially, we evaluated the reactivity of PhSO₂CF₃ (**2a**) in the S-trifluoromethylation of thiophenol (**1'**) in DMF solution under dark conditions at room temperature. Although the thiophenolate anion was known to possess good reducing ability,¹² it could not reduce **2a** under dark conditions (Table 1, entry 1). So we carried out the reaction under the irradiation of blue LEDs. To our delight, the yield reached 61% after 12 hours (Table 1, entry 2). Further screening of the solvents showed that the reaction only worked in highly polar solvents (Table 1, entries 2–7), and the yield increased to 72% in DMAc (Table 1, entry 3) or NMP (Table 1, entry 5). During the screening of bases (Table 1, entries 3 and 8–11), it was found that when Cs₂CO₃ was used, the reaction gave the highest yield (Table 1, entry 3). It is likely that Cs₂CO₃ has both good basicity

^a Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Center for Excellence in Molecular Synthesis, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Ling-Ling Road, Shanghai 200032, China. E-mail: jinbohu@sioc.ac.cn

^b School of Physical Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University 100 Haik Road, Shanghai 201210, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cc03921d>






Fig. 1 Examples of SCF₃-containing drugs.

Previous work: Trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone as a trifluoromethyl anion precursor



Scheme 1 Trifluoromethylations with trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone.

Table 1 Survey of reaction conditions for trifluoromethylation of thiophenol^a

				
Entry	Solvent	Time (h)	Base	Yield ^b (%)
1 ^c	DMF	12	CS ₂ CO ₃	Trace
2	DMF	12	CS ₂ CO ₃	61
3	DMAc	12	CS ₂ CO ₃	72
4	DMSO	12	CS ₂ CO ₃	33
5	NMP	12	CS ₂ CO ₃	72
6	DMPU	12	CS ₂ CO ₃	52
7	CH ₃ CN	12	CS ₂ CO ₃	3
8	DMAc	12	K ₃ PO ₄	64
9	DMAc	12	K ₂ CO ₃	54
10	DMAc	12	Na ₂ CO ₃	58
11	DMAc	12	NaH	61
12	DMAc	18	CS ₂ CO ₃	72
13	NMP	18	CS ₂ CO ₃	80
14	NMP	24	CS ₂ CO ₃	81
15 ^d	NMP	24	CS ₂ CO ₃	81
16 ^e	NMP	24	CS ₂ CO ₃	72

^a Reaction conditions: **1'** (0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), **2a** (0.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), and solvent (5.0 mL). ^b Determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using trifluoromethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^c Under a dark environment. ^d **2a** (0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv.). ^e Under white light irradiation.

and good solubility, so it is able to efficiently promote the occurrence of single electron transfer reduction in our reaction. When the reaction time was elongated to 18 hours, the yield did not increase when DMAc (Table 1, entry 12) was used as a solvent; however, the yield increased to 80% in NMP after 18 hours (Table 1, entry 13), and increased to 81% when the reaction time was 24 hours (Table 1, entry 14). When the amount of **2a** was reduced from 1.2 equivalents to 1.1 equivalents, the yield kept the same (Table 1, entry 15). It is worth

mentioning that the yield of the reaction was 72% under white light irradiation (Table 1, entry 16).

With the standard reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 15), we examined the substrate scope of this *S*-perfluoroalkylation protocol. As shown in Table 2, the reaction was sensitive to the electronic nature of thiophenols **1**. For electron-rich substrates, the yields were moderate with reagent **2a**, but the yields could rise by using 1-(trifluoromethyl)-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)benzene (in the cases of **3b** and **3c**). For electron-neutral substrates (**1a**, **1d** and **1g**) and electron-deficient substrates (**1h–1o**), the yields were mostly good to excellent. This reaction was also amenable to thiophenols with steric hindrance (such as **1e**). Furthermore, thiophenols bearing *tert*-butyl (**1b**), methoxy (**1c**), amide (**1f**), methylthio (**1g**), ester (**1h** and **1i**), carboxylic acid (**1j–1l**), cyano (**1m**), carbonyl (**1n**), and sulfonyl (**1o**) were all applicable in this reaction. This fluoroalkyl sulfone-enabled radical fluoroalkylation reaction proceeds smoothly with substrates bearing a range of heterocyclic motifs, including pyridine (**1p**), pyrimidine (**1q** and **1r**), and benzothiazole (**1s**). The nitro-containing substrate (**1t**), which is often incompatible with the single electron transfer reduction, was also found to give the corresponding product **3t** in 36% yield.

Pentafluorothio (C₂F₅S)-containing compounds are useful in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals,¹³ but there are few synthetic methods of pentafluoroethyl aryl sulfide.¹⁴ We found that, in addition to *S*-trifluoromethylation, our synthetic protocol is also suitable for *S*-pentafluoroethylation (**3u** and **3v**) and *S*-perfluoroisopropylation (see **3w**). This *S*-trifluoromethylation method also worked well for a complex molecule (**1x**), and the desired product **3x** was obtained in excellent yield (91%).

Trifluoromethyl heteroaryl sulfones are valuable compounds for organic synthesis.^{9,10a,d,15} By using our *S*-trifluoromethylation method with PhSO₂CF₃ (**2a**), we were able to prepare several trifluoromethyl heteroaryl sulfones **4a–4c** (Scheme 2; *S*-trifluoromethylation followed by oxidation) in gram scales (for details, see ESI†).



To gain mechanistic insights into this reaction, a series of mechanistic experiments were performed. Firstly, the reduction potential of PhSO₂CF₃ (**2a**) in DMAc was found to be −1.88 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), while after adding one equivalent of sodium thiophenolate (PhSNa), the reduction potential of PhSO₂CF₃ decreased to −2.21 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) (see ESI†). These results suggest that there is a strong interaction between PhSO₂CF₃ and PhSNa. Furthermore, both the DMAc solution of PhSNa and the DMAc solution of PhSO₂CF₃ were all almost colorless, but the mixed DMAc solution of PhSNa and PhSO₂CF₃ was yellow at the same concentration (Fig. 2). UV/vis absorption spectroscopy showed a bathochromic shift by mixing the DMAc solution of PhSNa with PhSO₂CF₃ and there is a strong absorption in the blue light region (Fig. 2), which is in agreement with the fact that the reaction needs blue light irradiation. Finally, a 1 : 1 ratio between PhSNa and **2a** in the form of an EDA complex was established based on the Job's plot with UV/vis absorption experiments, in which the maximum absorption appeared at 50% molar fraction of PhSNa (Fig. 3).¹⁶

Table 2 Perfluoroalkylation of thiophenols with perfluoroalkyl phenyl sulfones^a

$\text{Ar-SH} + \text{PhSO}_2\text{R}_f \xrightarrow[\text{rt, 24 h, NMP, N}_2]{\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3, 24 \text{ W Blue LEDs}}$ Ar-SR_f	
1	2
	$\text{R}_f = \text{CF}_3, \text{CF}_2\text{CF}_3, \text{CF}(\text{CF}_3)_2$
3a, 81%	3b, 64%, 71% ^b
3c, 35%, 75% ^b	3d, 97%
3e, 72%	3f, 75%
3g, 93%	3h, 91%
3i ^c , 77%	3j ^c , 57%
3k ^c , 81%	3l ^c , 81%
3m, 93%	3n, 69%
3o, 96%	3p ^c , 81%
3q ^c , 86%	3r ^c , 92%
3s ^{b,c} , 75%	3t, 36%
3u, 97%	3v ^c , 65%
3w, 51%	3x, 91%

^a Reaction conditions: **1** (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), **2** (0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv.), Cs₂CO₃ (1.00 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), and NMP (5.0 mL). ^b 4-CF₃-C₆H₄SO₂CF₃ was used instead of **2a**. ^c The solvent is DMAc, and the reaction time is 12 h.


Based on the above-mentioned experimental results and the related literature,¹⁷ we propose the following reaction mechanism (Scheme 3). First, thiophenol (PhSH) is deprotonated in the presence of a base, generating a thiophenolate anion (PhS⁻). The electron-rich PhS⁻ interacts with electron-poor PhSO₂CF₃ (**2a**) to form the EDA complex (**A**), which can absorb visible light (400–500 nm). Under visible-light irradiation, a

**Scheme 2** Preparation of trifluoromethyl heteroaryl sulfones.**Fig. 2** Maximum absorption wavelengths of different substances in DMAc. The inset shows different colours of the DMAc solutions of PhSNa (left), PhSO₂CF₃ and PhSNa (middle), and PhSO₂CF₃ (right).

single-electron transfer (SET) process occurs from ArS⁻ to PhSO₂CF₃ in the EDA complex, generating a phenylthio radical (PhS[•]) and a trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone radical anion (C). Finally, the latter species decomposes to give the desired product and a benzenesulfinate anion (Scheme 3).

In summary, we have discovered the first case of using trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone as a trifluoromethyl radical precursor and developed a transition metal-free, photocatalyst-free, and visible-light-promoted *S*-perfluoroalkylation of thiophenols with perfluoroalkyl phenyl sulfones under mild and straightforward conditions. Further investigation in this direction is underway in our laboratory.

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFF0701700 and 2021YFB3803200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21632009), the Key Programs of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KGZD-EW-T08), and the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS (QYZDJ-SSW-SLH049).



Scheme 3 Proposed reaction mechanism.




Fig. 3 Job's plot between PhSNa and 2a (measured wavelength: 425 nm) in DMAc.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) A. Leo, C. Hansch and D. Elkins, *Chem. Rev.*, 1971, **71**, 525; (b) C. Hansch, A. Leo and R. W. Taft, *Chem. Rev.*, 1991, **91**, 165.
- R. Filler, *Biomedical Aspects of Fluorine Chemistry*, Kodansha, Tokyo, 1982.
- L. M. Yagupolskii, A. Y. Ilchenko and N. V. Kondratenko, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 1974, **43**, 32.
- (a) W. C. Holz, J. P. Hieble and R. G. Pendleton, *Psychopharmacology*, 1982, **77**, 259; (b) P. Laczay, G. Vörös and G. Semjén, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 1995, **25**, 753; (c) T. Silverstone, J. Fincham and J. B. Plumley, *J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 1979, **7**, 353.
- (a) C. Chen, Y. Xie, L. Chu, R. Wang, X. Zhang and F. Qing, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 2492; (b) C. Zhang and D. A. Vicic, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 1756, 2012, **7**; (c) X. Shao, X. Wang, T. Yang, L. Lu and Q. Shen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3457; (d) K. Kang, C. Xu and Q. Shen, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2014, **1**, 294; (e) G. Teverovskiy, D. S. Surry and S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 7312; (f) G. Yin, I. Kalvet and F. Schoenebeck, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 6809; (g) C. Zhang and D. A. Vicic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 183; (h) G. Yin, I. Kalvet, U. Englert and F. Schoenebeck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 183; (i) A. B. Durr, G. Yin, I. Kalvet, F. Napoly and F. Schoenebeck, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 1076; (j) Z. Wen, W. He, C. Chen, R. Lee, D. Tan, Z. Lai, D. Kong, Y. Yuan and K. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 1548; (k) G. Danoun, B. Bayarmagnai, M. F. Gruenberg and L. J. Goossen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 1312.
- (a) N. J. W. Straathof, B. J. P. Tegelbeckers, V. Hessel, X. Wang and T. Noel, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4768; (b) J. Ma, Q. Liu, G. Lu and W. Li, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2017, **193**, 113.
- (a) F. Baert, J. Colomb and T. Billard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10382; (b) F. Shen, H. Zhang, X. Xue, L. Lu and Q. Shen, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 6347.
- (a) C. Ni, M. Hu and J. Hu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 765; (b) C. Ni and J. Hu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 5441.
- J. Rong, L. Deng, P. Tan, C. Ni, Y. Gu and J. Hu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2743.
- (a) J. Rong, C. Ni, Y. Wang, C. Kuang, Y. Gu and J. Hu, *Acta Chim. Sin.*, 2017, **75**, 105; (b) W. Miao, Y. Zhao, C. Ni, B. Gao, W. Zhang and J. Hu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 880; (c) Z. Wei, W. Miao, C. Ni and J. Hu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 13597; (d) X. Zhou, C. Ni, L. Deng and J. Hu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 8750.
- (a) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu and G. A. Olah, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 4457; (b) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu and G. A. Olah, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 3253.
- (a) A. Harsanyia, E. Dorkoa, A. Csapoa, T. Bakob, C. Peltzb and J. Rabai, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2011, **132**, 1241; (b) Y. Sumii, M. Taniguchi, X. Xu, E. Tokunaga and N. Shibata, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, **74**, 5635.
- D. Gary, C. Thomas, L. Casandra, A. David, B. Kristy, V. Annette, H. William, P. Denise, S. Jaime, H. Ricky and G. Jack, *PCT Int. Pat.*, WO 2009/102736, 2009.
- (a) T. Umemoto and Y. Kuriu, *Chem. Lett.*, 1982, **65**; (b) C. Wakselman and M. Tordeux, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1985, **4047**; (c) N. Roques, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2001, **107**, 311; (d) S. Sipyagin, V. Enshov, S. Kashtanov and J. Thrasher, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.*, 2002, **38**, 1375; (e) A. Sipyagin, V. Enshov, S. Kashtanov, C. Bateman, B. Mullen, Y. Tan and J. Thrasher, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2004, **125**, 1305; (f) C. Pooput, W. Dolbier Jr. and M. Médebielle, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 3564; (g) F. Sladojevich, E. McNeill, J. Börgel, S. Zheng and T. Ritter, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 3712; (h) S. Alazet and T. Billard, *Synlett*, 2015, **76**; (i) L. Jiang, J. Qian, W. Yi, G. Lu, C. Cai and W. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 14965; (j) C. Matheis, B. Bayarmagnai, K. Jouvin and L. Goossen, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2016, **3**, 949; (k) J. Ma, Q. Liu, G. Lu and W. Yi, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2017, **193**, 113; (l) J. Xiang, X. Xu and F. Qing, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2017, **203**, 110.
- (a) G. Zou and X. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 8748; (b) J. Wei, K. Bao, C. Qi, Y. Liu, C. Ni, R. Sheng and J. Hu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2019, **361**, 5528; (c) M. Zhu, W. Fun, W. Guo, Y. Tian, Z. Wang, C. Xu and B. Ji, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **1614**; (d) O. Yao, X. Xu and F. Qing, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202114048.
- P. Job, *Ann. Chim.*, 1928, **9**, 113.
- (a) B. Liu, C. Lim and G. Miyake, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 13616; (b) Y. Cai, F. Nie and Q. Song, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **86**, 12419.