

AgF-Mediated Fluorinative Homocoupling of gem-Difluoroalkenes

Bing Gao, Yanchuan Zhao, Chuanfa Ni, and Jinbo Hu*

Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of O[rg](#page-3-0)anic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Ling-Ling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [A novel silve](#page-3-0)r(I)-fluoride-mediated homocoupling reaction of β , β -difluorostyrene derivatives is described. The transformation is initiated via nucleophilic addition of silver(I) fluoride to β , β -difluorostyrenes, which is followed by dimerization of the corresponding

benzylsilver intermediates. The reaction shows good substrate scope, functional group tolerance, and represents the first report on the reactivity of $(\alpha$ -trifluoromethyl)benzylsilver species.

Selectively fluorinated or fluoroalkylated organic molecules
often possess unique physical, chemical, and biological properties, making them of special importance in agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals, as well as functional materials.¹ Transition-metal-mediated fluorination and fluoroalkylation, which provide fast and mild access to fluorine-containin[g](#page-3-0) organic molecules, have become the subject of tremendous research activity over the past decade.² The preparation and characterization of the corresponding R_f-M organometallic complexes were carried out by several [g](#page-3-0)roups, the majority of which mainly focused on "CF₃–Pd(II/III/IV)",³ "CF₃–Cu(I/ III)",⁴ "CF₃–Ag(I/III)",⁵ and other "CF₃–M" (M = Ni, Pt, Ru, Rh) species.⁶ These trifluoromethyl metallic [c](#page-3-0)omplexes are gene[ra](#page-3-0)lly stable at low [ox](#page-3-0)idation states and isolable with proper ligands.

Despite these important examples of trifluoromethyl metallic compounds, there are very few studies on partially fluorinated alkyl organometallic compounds.⁷ The introduction of fluorine atom(s) might dramatically change the chemical properties of corre[sp](#page-3-0)onding organometallic species. For instance, CH₃− PdAr(DPPBz) and PhCH₂−PdAr(DPPBz) species [DPPBz: 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene] undergo complete reductive elimination within 4 h at 40 °C, while the reductive elimination of CF₃CH₂−PdAr(DPPBz) has to be conducted at 110 °C for 36 h.^{8a} Recently, our group reported a palladiumcatalyzed 2,2,2-trifluoroethylation of organoboronic derivatives that was accompl[ish](#page-3-0)ed within 12 h at 80 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$; a bulky phosphine ligand (Xantphos) was employed to accelerate the crucial reductive elimination step as well as the whole catalytic cycle consequently.8b Encouraged by this success, we sought to explore other related fluoroalkyl metallic complexes with different cen[tra](#page-3-0)l metal atoms. Herein, we disclose our results on the study of an α -trifluoromethylated benzylsilver species $[CF₃CH(Ar)-Ag^I]$ (Scheme 1).

Currently, the development of practical approaches toward α-trifluoromethylated alkylsilver compounds still remains a challenging task. Unlike $R-Pd^{II}$ ($R =$ alkyl, alkenyl, and aryl) species, the R-Ag^I compounds are inaccessible via direct oxidative addition of alkyl halide to metal (Ag^0) on the benchtop,^{9,10} and a facile β-fluoride elimination of CF₃CHR−

Scheme 1. Variations from Trifluoromethyl Metallic Complexes to α -Trifluoromethylated Alkyl Metallic Complexes

 $Mg^{II}X_n$ species makes the transmetalation from the corresponding Grignard reagents to silver metal center difficult (Scheme $2)$.^{1a,b} Traditionally, the nucleophilic addition of AgF to perfluoroalkenes provides an alternative approach to perfluoroalkylsilver compounds $(R_f - Ag^I)$, taking advantage of the high electrophilicity of perfluoroalkenes.^{11a} gem-Difluoroalkenes, on the other hand, although less electron-deficient, have also been employed to synthesize 18 F-labele[d C](#page-3-0)F₃-containing molecules

Scheme 2. Proposed Strategy for the Preparation of α -Trifluoromethylated Alkylsilver Compounds

Received: October 26, 2013 Published: December 2, 2013

for positron emission tomography (PET) with $[{}^{18}F]KF$ under relatively harsh reaction conditions.^{11b} Therefore, we envisioned that it could be possible to use gem-difluoroalkenes as precursors for the preparation of $CF_3CHR-Ag^I$ compounds (Scheme 2).

Alkyl-substituted gem-difluoroalkene 1 was first examined with 1.0 [eq](#page-0-0)uiv of AgF in DMSO at 80 °C for 6 h, as shown in Scheme 3. However, only hydrofluorination product 2, rather

than the corresponding metallic compound, was obtained in 18% yield with 73% of 1 being recovered. Poor thermal stability of alkylsilver compound was considered to be responsible for the failure of 1, and the introduction of an aromatic functionality seemed necessary for two reasons: (1) β , β difluorostyrene derivatives are more electrophilic and therefore should facilitate the nucleophilic addition at relatively low temperatures; (2) the newly formed C−Ag^I bond can be stabilizied by the aromatic ring. Accordingly, 2-(2,2-difluorovinyl)naphthalene (3a) was next employed as a model precursor that was treated under the same reaction conditions. Nevertheless, no benzylsilver compound, but homocoupling product 4a (19%), was observed along with hydrofluorination side product (Scheme 3).

It was reported that alkylsilver compounds could readily undergo homocoupling to give alkyl dimers, and general methodologies of silver-catalyzed oxidative homocoupling of Grignard reagents have been developed.⁹ Although the homocoupling products of perfluoroalkylsilver compounds were observed during their decomposition [pr](#page-3-0)ocess,^{11a} its low efficiency could be a hurdle for practical applications. On the other hand, the synthetic transformations bas[ed](#page-3-0) on α trifluoromethylated benzylsilver species still remain unrevealed, which stimulated us to explore such a transformation.

The optimization of the reaction conditions was commenced using 3a as the model substrate, as shown in Table 1. The transformation proceeded very slowly below 80 °C but turned messy at 130 °C, giving 80 °C as a preferred option. The yield of 4a was increased to 39% by increasing the amount of AgF to 4.0 equiv, and further screening of the solvents revealed that the transformation could also proceed in $CH₃CN$, HMPA, and pyridine. It should be noted that although $CH₃CN$ was extensively used in the preparation of perfluoroalkylsilver metallic compounds,^{11a} pyridine proved to be even better in this case, which might serve as both solvent and ligand for the organosilver interm[edia](#page-3-0)te (entry $7)^{12}$ Apolar solvents like dioxane and toluene were inefficient owing to the insolubility of AgF in these solvents (entries 8 and [9](#page-3-0)). On the other hand, decomposition of AgF was observed in pyridine, and the addition of THF as cosolvent (1:1 in volume) was expected to slow such a process (entry 8). Additives like molecular sieves gave a slightly higher yield (63%, entry 11). Finally, the reaction was performed on both 0.5 mmol scale (0.1 M in concentration) and 1.0 mmol scale (0.2 M in concentration)

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

	F F 3a	AgF solvent, dark, 80 °C, 6 h		CF ₃ CF ₃	4a
entry^a	AgF (equiv)	solvent $(0.1 M)$	temp $({}^{\circ}C)$	time (h)	yield ^b $(\%)$
1	1.0	DMSO	80	6	19
\overline{c}	4.0	DMSO	80	6	39
3	4.0	DMSO	130	6	27
$\overline{4}$	4.0	CH ₃ CN	80	6	15
5	4.0	HMPA	80	6	37
6	4.0	pyridine	80	6	49
7^c	4.0	toluene	80	6	Ω
8 ^c	4.0	dioxane	80	6	Ω
9	4.0	pyridine-THF (1:1)	80	6	58
10 ^d	4.0	pyridine-THF (1:1)	80	6	63
11 ^e	3.0	pyridine-THF (1:1)	80	6	62
12^f	3.0	pyridine-THF (1:1)	80	6	61
13 ^g	3.0	pyridine-THF (1:1)	80	6	25

 \emph{a} All reactions were run on 0.2 mmol scale of substrate 3a. \emph{b} NMR yield determined by ^{19}F spectrospcopy with 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene as an internal standard. Catarting material 3a was quantitatively recovered.
 $\frac{d_{\text{Molecular}}}{dt}$ cares was added (10 ma/mL) CFbs reaction was run on Molecular sieves was added (10 mg/mL). ^eThe reaction was run on 0.5 mmol scale. f The reaction was run on 1.0 mmol scale. g The reaction was run with a concentration of 0.0125 M.

with only 3.0 equiv of AgF being used, both providing reproducible results. Attempts to lower the concentration to 0.0125 M decreased the yield to 25%. Moreover, it should be mentioned that all reactions were conducted under the protection from light to suppress the decomposition of AgF.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope of the homocoupling reaction was explored. As summarized in Table 2, a variety of β , β -difluorostyrene derivatives bearing different substituents were examined with satisfactory results. For [p](#page-2-0)recursors (3b−3d) with electrondonating substituents, such as −NPh₂, −OMe, and −SMe para to the β , β -difluorovinyl functionality, the transformation proceeded smoothly. Linear alkyl-substituted compound 4e was obtained in good yield (65%, entry 5), indicating the tolerance of ester. Halogen substituents such as iodo and bromo were also tolerated under the current conditions (4f, 4j), which alllows further elaborations of these interesting products (e.g., palladium-catalyzed polymerization for the preparation of functional materials).¹³ Switching the position of substituents would affect the reaction output as 4k was obtained in 40% yield while 4g was i[sol](#page-3-0)ated in 65%. It could be ascribed to the steric repulsion between bromine and the $\beta_1\beta$ difluorovinyl group. Diastereoisomers of the majority of products, albeit in poor diastereoselectivity, could be separated via column chromatography. One diastereoisomer of 4m, characterized by X-ray crystallography, was confirmed with a syn configuration, and the syn/anti ratios of other products were determined accordingly.

In general, the introduction of electron-deficient substituents should facilitate the electron polarization of the π -obital of gemdifluoroalkenes and thus favor the nucleophilic addition of AgF.^{1b} However, when 3m was treated under our standard

Table 2. Survey of Substrate Scope

 $a_{\text{In all cases, the reactions were run on 1.0 mmol scale using 3.0 equiv}$ of AgF and 50 mg of molecular sieves in cosolvent of pyridine and THF (2.5 mL:2.5 mL) without light. ^bIsolated yield. ^cDiastereoselectivity determined by 19F spectroscopy is given in parentheses.

reaction conditions, compounds 5a and 5b were formed instead of the expected homocoupling product 4m (Scheme 4). 5b was further confirmed by X-ray crystallography with a Z configuration. We assumed that 5a and 5b might derive from the oxidation of 4m by excess AgF in the reaction system since 4m, 5a, and 5b were all observed when the amount of AgF was minimized to 1.5 equiv.

To gain further mechanistic insights, 4m was separately prepared 14 and treated with standard homocoupling conditions. A full conversion of 4m into 5a and 5b with a ratio of 1.0:1.6 was obs[erv](#page-3-0)ed. However, 4c could not be oxidized under identical conditions. Although the detailed oxidation mechanism was still unclear, our experiments indicate that AgF acts as both an oxidant and a base throughout the transformation.¹⁵ The different performances between 4c and 4m was ascribed to the high acidity of the benzylic C−H bond of 4m caused by t[he](#page-3-0) −CN group.

According to previous reports, 9 perfluoroalkylsilver compounds were relatively stable at room temperature in polar solvents and were therefore capabl[e o](#page-3-0)f participating in synthetic transformations. In sharp contrast, although the addition of salts (LiBr, $MgBr_2$) could increase their stability, neat alkylsilver species were extremly unstable and would undergo quick decomposition even below 0 °C. This might explain why our endeavor to directly observe a benzylsilver intermediate proved unsuccessful.

Two plausible mechanistic pathways have been proposed for the homocoupling of alkylsilver compounds: (1) homolytic

cleavage of the C−Ag bond followed by random radical reactions, and (2) concerted process involving both C−Ag bond breaking and C−C bond formation.⁹ In our reaction, when 3.0 equiv of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-oxylpiperidine) was added under standard r[ea](#page-3-0)ction conditions with 3a as substrate, homocoupling was completely inhibited and 6 was isolated in 87% yield (Scheme 5), which might

Scheme 5. Mechanistic Study of the Homocoupling Reaction

support a free radical pathway. However, a concerted pathway could not be ruled out since, in pyridine, an aggregation state of perfluoroalkylsilver species has also been proposed based on NMR study.¹²

Moreover, we were wondering whether the incorporation of F[−] source a[nd](#page-3-0) other silver salts could replace AgF to promote the same transformation.¹⁶ When 3b was treated with conditions A (CsF), B (AgF), and C (CsF and AgBF₄), the hydrofluorination product 7[,](#page-3-0) homocoupling product 4b, and unreacted 3b were observed, the yields of which were determined by 19F NMR (as illustrated in Scheme 5). According to these experimental results, gem-difluoroalkene

3b should be inert to the nucleophilic addition of F[−] anion at 80 °C, and a synergetic addition of AgF to double bond proved crucial for the generation of benzylsilver intermediate. The combination of CsF and $AgBF₄$ provided an alternative approach to AgF.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient fluorinative homocoupling reaction of gem-difluoroalkenes. Both the generality of substrate scope and functional group tolerance are demonstrated. The dimers possess unique chemical structure, which might find applications in life science- and materials-science-related fields.¹⁷ Although the key intermediate is unstable at the temperature necessary for its formation, to our knowledge, it is the first example in which the chemistry of α -trifluoromethylated benzylsilver species is demonstrated. Further development of new methodologies based on this unique species is underway in our laboratory.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jinbohu@sioc.ac.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:jinbohu@sioc.ac.cn)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB821600, 2012CB215500), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21372246, 20825209), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Kirsch, P. Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Uneyama, K. Organofluorine Chemistry; Blackwell: Oxford, UK, 2006. (c) Ojima, I. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology; Wiley-Blackwell: Chichester, UK, 2009. (d) Petrov, V. A. Fluorinated Heterocyclic Compounds: Synthesis, Chemistry and Applications; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2009.

(2) For recent reviews and selective papers, see: (a) Ye, Y.-D.; Sanford, M. S. Synlett 2012, 2005. (b) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475. (c) Brown, J. M.; Gouverneur, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8610. (d) Deb, A.; Manna, S.; Modak, A.; Patra, T.; Maity, S.; Maiti, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9747. (e) Danoun, G.; Bayarmagnai, B.; Gruenberg, M. F.; Goossen, L. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7972. (f) Zeng, Y.-W.; Zhang, L.-J.; Zhao, Y.-C.; Ni, C.-F.; Zhao, J.-W.; Hu, J.-B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2955. (g) Dai, J.-J.; Fang, C.; Xiao, B.; Yi, J.; Xu, J.; Liu, Z.-J.; Lu, X.; Liu, L.; Fu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8436. (h) Wang, X.; Xu, Y.; Mo, F.-Y.; Ji, G.-J.; Qiu, D.; Feng, J.-J.; Ye, Y.-X.; Zhang, S.-N.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J.-B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10330. (i) Zhang, X.-G.; Dai, H.-X.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11948. (j) Hu, M.-Y.; Ni, C.-F.; Hu, J.-B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15257. (k) He, Z.-B.; Hu, M.-Y.; Luo, T.; Li, L.-C.; Hu, J.-B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11545.

(3) For Pd, see selective examples: (a) Powers, D. C.; Lee, E.; Ariafard, A.; Sanford, M. S.; Yates, B. F.; Canty, A. J.; Ritter, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12002. (b) Bakhmutov, V. I.; Bozoglian, F.; Gomez, K.; Gonzalez, G.; Grushin, V. V.; MacGregor, S. A.; Martin, E.; Miloserdov, F. M.; Novikov, M. A.; Panetier, J. A.; Romashov, L. V.

Organometallics 2012, 31, 1315. (c) Racowski, J. M.; Ball, N. D.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18022. (d) Cho, E. J.; Senecal, T. D.; Kinzel, T.; Zhang, Y.; Watson, D. A.; Buchwald, S. L. Science 2010, 328, 1679.

(4) For Cu, see: (a) Zhao, T. S. N.; Szabo, K. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3966. (b) Zanardi, A.; Novikov, M. A.; Martin, E.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Grushin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20901. (c) Morimoto, H.; Tsubogo, T.; Litvinas, N. D.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3793. (d) Yang, Z.-Y.; Burton, D. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2000, 102, 89.

(5) For Ag, see: (a) Kremlev, M. M.; Mushta, A. I.; Tyrra, W.; Yagupolskii, Y. L.; Naumann, D.; Moeller, A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2012, 133, 67. (b) Weng, Z.; Lee, R.; Jia, W.; Yuan, Y.; Wang, W.; Feng, X.; Huang, K.-W. Organometallics 2011, 30, 3229. (c) Naumann, D.; Wessel, W.; Hahn, J.; Tyrra, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 547, 79.

(6) For other R_f –M compounds, see: (a) Kieltsch, I.; Dubinina, G. G.; Hamacher, C.; Kaiser, A.; Torres-Nieto, J.; Hutchison, J. M.; Klein, A.; Budnikova, Y.; Vicic, D. A. Organometallics 2010, 29, 1451. (b) Burton, D. J.; Lu, L. Top. Curr. Chem. 1997, 193, 45. (c) Rosevear, D. T.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., A 1968, 164. (d) Krause, L. J.; Morrison, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1282. (e) Appleton, T. G.; Chisholm, M. H.; Clark, H. C.; Manzer, L. E. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 1786. (f) Taw, F. L.; Clark, A. E.; Mueller, A. H.; Janicke, M. T.; Cantat, T.; Scott, B. L.; Hay, P. J.; Hughes, R. P.; Kiplinger, J. L. Organometallics 2012, 31, 1484. (g) Goodman, J.; Grushin, V. V.; Larichev, R. B.; Macgregor, S. A.; Marshall, W. J.; Roe, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4236. (h) Zhang, C.-P.; Wang, H.; Klein, A.; Biewer, C.; Stirnat, K.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Xu, L.; Gomez-Benitez, V.; Vicic, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8141. (i) Dubinina, G. G.; Brennessel, W. W.; Miller, J. L.; Vicic, D. A. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3933.

(7) (a) Burton, D. J.; Hartgraves, G. A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 1198. (b) Eujen, R.; Hoge, B.; Brauer, D. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 519, 7. (c) Hughes, R. P.; Meyer, M. A.; Tawa, M. D.; Ward, A. J.; Williamson, A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Zakharov, L. N. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 747.

(8) (a) Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. Organometallics 2004, 23, 3398. (b) Zhao, Y.-C.; Hu, J.-B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1033.

(9) Michael, H. Silver in Organic Chemistry; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2010 and references therein.

(10) Klabunde, K. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 1976, 7, 95.

(11) (a) Miller, W. T.; Burnard, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 7367. (b) Riss, P. J.; Aigbirhio, F. I. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11873.

(12) Polishchuk, V. R.; Fedorov, L. A.; Okulevich, P. O.; German, L. S.; Knunyants, I. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 11, 3933.

(13) (a) Muroga, T.; Sakaguchi, T.; Hashimoto, T. Polymer 2012, 53, 4380. (b) Chen, L.; Zhang, B.; Cheng, Y.; Xie, Z.; Wang, L.; Jing, X.; Wang, F. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2010, 20, 3143.

(14) A systematic study of this reaction will be published in another manuscript.

(15) (a) Pandey, G.; Lakshmaiah, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 4861. (b) Tyrra, W. Heteroat. Chem. 2002, 13, 561.

(16) Dyatkin, B. L.; Martynov, B. I.; Martynova, L. G.; Kizim, N. G.; Sterlin, S. R.; Stumbrevichute, Z. A.; Fedorov, L. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 57, 423.

(17) Prinsell, M. R.; Everson, D. A.; Weix, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5743 and references therein.